

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,933	09/25/2003	James S. Voss	200208344-1	2044	
22879 HFWI FTT PA	7590 09/28/2007 PACKARD COMPANY		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2724	P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			KHAN, USMAN A	
	CTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION LLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TORT COLLIN	15, 00 00327 2100		2622		
		X			
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/670,933	VOSS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Usman Khan	2622	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔀 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must time ly file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extern and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL -324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🔀 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔀 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,7-10,13-15 and 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

> DAVID OMET SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because Applicant argues that Motono fails to teach creation of a multi-mode file that comprises relatively low-resolution images and relatively high-resolution images, and further fails to teach extracting relatively high-resolution images from such a file.

In response the examiner notes that in column 8 lines 8 – 29 Motono teaches that "the high quality imaging signal obtained as progressive scan signal by the imaging section 1 is converted into an interlaced signal by said I/F processing picture MIX circuit 3, which signal is then recorded on a magnetic tape by said recording/reproducing section 5. Said recording/reproducing section 5 is practically not subjected to any limitations in terms of recording capacity because it is a recording means using a magnetic t ap as recording medium so that still pictures represented by high quality imaging signals obtained by said imaging section 1 as progressive scan signals in the all pixels read out mode can be recorded continuously on the magnetic tape" i.e. both the signal s can be recorded in the same magnetic tape. Also as discussed in the previous office action in the abstract, figure 1 and column 4 lines 7 et seq., progressive files i.e. still images can be saved in item 10 after being extracted.

Also, applicant argues that Motono fails to teach "identifying at least one of a filename or a storage location of the multi -mode image file" from which the relatively high-resolution image was extracted.

In response the examiner notes that as discussed in the previous office action the abstract, figure 1 and column 4 lines 7 et seq., Motono teaches that the progressive files i.e. still image can be extracted from the group of interlaced videos and progressive images and can be saved in item 10 after being extracted.

Finally, applicant argues that Motono fails to teach "storing an indication of the at least one of a filename or a storage location of the multi-mode image file as metadata associated with the extracted relatively high -resolution image".

In response the examiner notes that as discussed in the previous office action in figure 1 items 7 – 10, Motono teaches that the files will be stored as ".JPEG" files.